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#### Abstract

We consider a four-dimensional Riemannian manifold $M$ with an additional structure $S$, whose fourth power is minus identity. In a local coordinate system the components of the metric $g$ and the structure $S$ form skew-circulant matrices. Both structures $S$ and $g$ are compatible, such that an isometry is induced in every tangent space of $M$. By a special identity for the curvature tensor, generated by the Riemannian connection of $g$, we determine classes of Einstein and almost Einstein manifolds. For such manifolds we obtain propositions for the sectional curvatures of some characteristic 2-planes in a tangent space of $M$. We consider a Hermitian manifold associated with the studied manifold and find conditions for $g$, under which it is a Kähler manifold. We construct some examples of the considered manifolds on Lie groups.
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## 1. Introduction

The right circulant matrices and the right skew-circulant matrices are Toeplitz matrices, which are well-studied in [1,3]. The set of invertible circulant (skewcirculant) matrices form a group with respect to the matrix multiplication. Such matrices have application to geometry, linear codes, graph theory, vibration analysis (for example $[2,7,9,11,13,14]$ ).
A. Gray, L. Hervella and L. Vanhecke used curvature identities to classify and to study the almost Hermitian manifolds (for instance in [4-6,15]). The Hermitian manifolds form a class of manifolds with an integrable almost complex structure $J$. The class of the Kähler manifolds is their subclass and such

[^0]manifolds have a parallel structure $J$. According to A. Gray, the Kähler manifolds have an especially rich geometric structure, due to the Kähler curvature identity $R(\cdot, \cdot, J \cdot, J \cdot)=R(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$. Some of the recent investigations on the curvature properties of the almost Hermitian manifolds are made in $[8,10,12,16]$.
In the present work we study a four-dimensional differentiable manifold $M$ with a Riemannian metric $g$. The manifold $M$ is equipped locally with an additional structure $S$, which satisfies $S^{4}=-\mathrm{id}$. The component matrix of $S$ is a special skew-circulant matrix, i.e., $S$ is a skew-circulant structure. Moreover, $S$ is compatible with $g$, such that an isometry is induced in every tangent space of $M$. Such a manifold $(M, g, S)$ is associated with a Hermitian manifold $(M, g, J)$, where $J=S^{2}$ is a complex structure.
The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we introduce a manifold ( $M, g, S$ ) and give some necessary facts for our investigations. In Sect. 3, we obtain a class of almost Einstein manifolds $(M, g, S)$ and a class of Einstein manifolds $(M, g, S)$. In Sect. 4, we get conditions under which an orthogonal basis of type $\left\{S^{3} x, S^{2} x, S x, x\right\}$ exists in every tangent space of $(M, g, S)$. In Sect. 5 , we find some curvature properties of the considered Einstein and almost Einstein manifolds. In Sect. 6, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for $S$ to be parallel with respect to the Riemannian connection of $g$. Also, we get conditions for $(M, g, J)$ to be a Kähler manifold. In Sect. 7, we construct examples of the considered manifolds on Lie groups and find some of their geometric characteristics.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M$ be a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold equipped with an endomorphism $S$ in every tangent space $T_{p} M$ at a point $p$ on $M$. Let the coordinates of $S$, with respect to some basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$, form a right skew-circulant matrix as follows

$$
\left(S_{j}^{k}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.1}\\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

We use local coordinates to facilitate our calculations.
According to (2.1) $S$ has the property

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{4}=-\mathrm{id} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that the metric $g$ and the structure $S$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(S x, S y)=g(x, y) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and anywhere in this work, $x, y, z, u$ will stand for arbitrary elements of the algebra on smooth vector fields on $M$ or vectors in $T_{p} M$. The Einstein summation convention is used, the range of the summation indices being always $\{1,2,3,4\}$.

The conditions (2.1) and (2.3) imply that the matrix of $g$, with respect to the local basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$, has the form

$$
\left(g_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A & B & 0 & -B  \tag{2.4}\\
B & A & B & 0 \\
0 & B & A & B \\
-B & 0 & B & A
\end{array}\right),
$$

i.e., it is right skew-circulant. Here $A=A(p)$ and $B=B(p)$ are smooth functions of an arbitrary point $p\left(X^{1}, X^{2}, X^{3}, X^{4}\right)$ on $M$. The determinant of the matrix (2.4) has the value $\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}\right)=\left(A^{2}-2 B^{2}\right)^{2}$. It is supposed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(p)>\sqrt{2} B(p)>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in order $g$ to be positive definite.
A manifold $M$ introduced in this way we denote by $(M, g, S)$.
Now, we consider an associated metric $\tilde{g}$ with $g$, determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}(x, y)=g(x, S y)+g(S x, y) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.1), (2.4) and (2.6) we get that the matrix of its components is

$$
\left(\tilde{g}_{i j}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
2 B & A & 0 & -A  \tag{2.7}\\
A & 2 B & A & 0 \\
0 & A & 2 B & A \\
-A & 0 & A & 2 B
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Two of the eigenvalues of (2.7) are $2 B-\sqrt{2} A$ and the other two are $2 B+\sqrt{2} A$. Since inequalities (2.5) are valid, $\tilde{g}$ has signature (2,2). So $\tilde{g}$ is an indefinite metric.

The inverse matrices of $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ and $\left(\tilde{g}_{i j}\right)$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(g^{i j}\right)=\frac{1}{A^{2}-2 B^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A & -B & 0 & B \\
-B & A & -B & 0 \\
0 & -B & A & -B \\
B & 0 & -B & A
\end{array}\right),  \tag{2.8}\\
&\left(\tilde{g}^{i j}\right)=\frac{1}{2\left(A^{2}-2 B^{2}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-2 B & A & 0 & -A \\
A & -2 B & A & 0 \\
0 & A & -2 B & A \\
-A & 0 & A & -2 B
\end{array}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\nabla$ be the Riemannian connection of $g$. The curvature tensor $R$ of $\nabla$ is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x, y) z=\nabla_{x} \nabla_{y} z-\nabla_{y} \nabla_{x} z-\nabla_{[x, y]} z . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tensor of type $(0,4)$ associated with $R$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x, y, z, u)=g(R(x, y) z, u) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Ricci tensor $\rho$ with respect to $g$ is given by the well-known formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(y, z)=g^{i j} R\left(e_{i}, y, z, e_{j}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scalar curvature $\tau$ with respect to $g$ and its associated quantity $\tau^{*}$ are determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=g^{i j} \rho\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), \quad \tau^{*}=\tilde{g}^{i j} \rho\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we consider a manifold $(M, g, S)$ with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla S=0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $S$ is a parallel structure with respect to $\nabla$.

Proposition 2.1. Every manifold $(M, g, S)$ with a parallel structure $S$ satisfies the curvature identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x, y, S z, S u)=R(x, y, z, u) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The well-known formula $\left(\nabla_{x} S\right) y=\nabla_{x} S y-S \nabla_{x} y$, together with (2.14), yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{x} S y=S \nabla_{x} y \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the equality (2.10) implies

$$
R(x, y, S z, S u)=g(R(x, y) S z, S u)
$$

Because of the latter identity, using (2.3), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.16), we get (2.15).

Due to the last proposition, we note that the identity (2.15) defines a more general class of manifolds $(M, g, S)$ than the class with the condition (2.14). Farther in this paper, we will investigate the properties of manifolds in these two classes.

## 3. Almost Einstein manifolds

In this section we consider manifolds $(M, g, S)$ with the property (2.15).
By $R_{i j k h}$ and $\rho_{i j}$ we will denote the components of the curvature tensor $R$ and the components of the Ricci tensor $\rho$ with respect to the local basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$, respectively. Hence, we establish the following propositions.

Proposition 3.1. The property (2.15) of the curvature tensor $R$ of $(M, g, S)$ is equivalent to the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{1313} & =R_{2424}=R_{1324}=2 R_{1212}=2 R_{1414}=2 R_{2323}=2 R_{3434} \\
& =2 R_{1223}=2 R_{1214}=2 R_{1434}=2 R_{1234}=2 R_{2334}=2 R_{2314} \\
R_{1213} & =R_{1224}=R_{1413}=R_{2414}=R_{2423}=R_{2313}=R_{1334}=R_{2434} \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The local form of (2.15) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i j l m} S_{k}^{l} S_{h}^{m}=R_{i j k h} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, using (2.1), we find the equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1313} & =R_{2424}=R_{1324} \\
R_{1212} & =R_{1414}=R_{2323}=R_{3434}=R_{1223}=R_{1214}=R_{1434}=R_{1234} \\
& =R_{2334}=R_{2314} \\
R_{1213} & =R_{1224}=R_{1413}=R_{2414}=R_{2423}=R_{2313}=R_{1334}=R_{2434} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By applying the Bianchi identity to the above components of $R$, we obtain (3.1).

Vice versa, from (2.1) and (3.1) it follows (3.2), so (2.15) holds true.
Proposition 3.2. If a manifold $(M, g, S)$ has the property (2.15), then the components of the Ricci tensor $\rho$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{11}=\rho_{22}=\rho_{33}=\rho_{44}, \quad \rho_{12}=\rho_{23}=\rho_{34}=-\rho_{14}, \quad \rho_{13}=\rho_{24}=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Due to Proposition 3.1, the components of the curvature tensor $R$ satisfy (3.1). For brevity, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}=R_{1313}, \quad R_{2}=R_{1213} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, having in mind (2.8), (2.12), (3.1) and (3.4), we get the components of $\rho$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{11}=\rho_{22}=\rho_{33}=\rho_{44}=\frac{2}{A^{2}-2 B^{2}}\left(2 B R_{2}-A R_{1}\right), \\
& \rho_{12}=\rho_{23}=\rho_{34}=-\rho_{14}=\frac{2}{A^{2}-2 B^{2}}\left(B R_{1}-A R_{2}\right), \\
& \rho_{13}=\rho_{24}=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

So the equalities (3.3) are valid.
A Riemannian manifold is said to be Einstein if its Ricci tensor $\rho$ is a constant multiple of the metric tensor $g$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x, y)=\alpha g(x, y) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [17], for locally decomposable Riemannian manifolds is defined a class of almost Einstein manifolds. For the considered in our paper manifolds, we give the following

Definition 3.3. A Riemannian manifold $(M, g, S)$ is called almost Einstein if the metrics $g$ and $\tilde{g}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x, y)=\alpha g(x, y)+\beta \tilde{g}(x, y) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are smooth functions on $M$.

Theorem 3.4. The manifold $(M, g, S)$ with the property (2.15) is almost Einstein.

Proof. According to Proposition 3.2, for $(M, g, S)$ the equalities (3.3) are valid. Consequently, using (2.8), (2.9), (2.13) and (3.3), we get the values of the scalar curvature $\tau$ and $\tau^{*}$ as follows:

$$
\tau=\frac{4}{A^{2}-2 B^{2}}\left(A \rho_{11}-2 B \rho_{12}\right), \quad \tau^{*}=\frac{4}{A^{2}-2 B^{2}}\left(A \rho_{12}-B \rho_{11}\right)
$$

Immediately from the latter equalities we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{11}=\frac{\tau}{4} A+\frac{2 \tau^{*}}{4} B, \quad \rho_{12}=\frac{\tau}{4} B+\frac{\tau^{*}}{4} A, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and bearing in mind (2.4) and (2.7) we get

$$
\rho_{11}=\frac{\tau}{4} g_{11}+\frac{\tau^{*}}{4} \tilde{g}_{11}, \quad \rho_{12}=\frac{\tau}{4} g_{12}+\frac{\tau^{*}}{4} \tilde{g}_{12}
$$

Then, taking into account (2.4), (2.7), (3.3) and (3.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{i j}=\frac{\tau}{4} g_{i j}+\frac{\tau^{*}}{4} \tilde{g}_{i j} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x, y)=\frac{\tau}{4} g(x, y)+\frac{\tau^{*}}{4} \tilde{g}(x, y) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, comparing (3.10) with (3.7), we state that $(M, g, S)$ is an almost Einstein manifold.

Let $(M, g, S)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.4. If we suppose that ( $M, g, S$ ) is an Einstein manifold, then its Ricci tensor $\rho$ has the form (3.6). Hence (3.10) implies the following

Corollary 3.5. If the manifold $(M, g, S)$ with the property (2.15) is Einstein then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{*}=0 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next theorem, we express the curvature tensor $R$ of an almost Einstein manifold $(M, g, S)$ by both structures $g$ and $S$.

Theorem 3.6. Let $(M, g, S)$ have the property (2.15). Then the curvature tensor $R$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{\tau}{16}\left(2 \pi_{1}+\pi_{3}\right)+\frac{\tau^{*}}{8} \pi_{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi_{1}(x, y, z, u)= & g(y, z) g(x, u)-g(x, z) g(y, u), \\
\pi_{2}(x, y, z, u)= & g(y, z) \tilde{g}(x, u)+g(x, u) \tilde{g}(y, z) \\
& -g(x, z) \tilde{g}(y, u)-g(y, u) \tilde{g}(x, z), \\
\pi_{3}(x, y, z, u)= & \tilde{g}(y, z) \tilde{g}(x, u)-\tilde{g}(x, z) \tilde{g}(y, u) . \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Due to Proposition 3.2, the components of the Ricci tensor $\rho$ of $(M, g, S)$ are given by (3.5). Therefore, by straightforward computation, we get

$$
R_{1}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(A \rho_{11}+2 B \rho_{12}\right) \quad R_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(B \rho_{11}+A \rho_{12}\right)
$$

We substitute (3.8) into the above equalities and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1}=-\frac{1}{8}\left(\left(A^{2}+2 B^{2}\right) \tau+4 A B \tau^{*}\right), \\
& R_{2}=-\frac{1}{8}\left(2 A B \tau+\left(2 B^{2}+A^{2}\right) \tau^{*}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.4), (2.7), (3.4) and (3.14) it follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1313}= & \frac{\tau}{16}\left(2\left(g_{13} g_{31}-g_{11} g_{33}\right)+\tilde{g}_{13} \tilde{g}_{31}-\tilde{g}_{11} \tilde{g}_{33}\right) \\
& +\frac{\tau^{*}}{8}\left(g_{13} \tilde{g}_{31}+\tilde{g}_{13} g_{31}-\tilde{g}_{11} g_{33}-g_{11} \tilde{g}_{33}\right), \\
R_{1213}= & \frac{\tau}{16}\left(2\left(g_{13} g_{21}-g_{11} g_{23}\right)+\tilde{g}_{13} \tilde{g}_{21}-\tilde{g}_{11} \tilde{g}_{23}\right) \\
& +\frac{\tau^{*}}{8}\left(g_{13} \tilde{g}_{21}+\tilde{g}_{13} g_{21}-\tilde{g}_{11} g_{23}-g_{11} \tilde{g}_{23}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, using (2.4), (2.7), (3.1), (3.4) and (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{i j k h}= & \frac{\tau}{16}\left(2\left(g_{i h} g_{j k}-g_{i k} g_{j h}\right)+\tilde{g}_{i h} \tilde{g}_{j k}-\tilde{g}_{i k} \tilde{g}_{j h}\right) \\
& +\frac{\tau^{*}}{8}\left(g_{i h} \tilde{g}_{j k}+\tilde{g}_{i h} g_{j k}-\tilde{g}_{i k} g_{j h}-g_{i k} \tilde{g}_{j h}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a local form of (3.12) with (3.13).

## 4. Orthogonal $S$-basis of $T_{p} M$

If $x$ is a vector in a tangent space $T_{p} M$ of $(M, g, S)$, then applying (2.1) we get the system of vectors $\left\{S^{3} x, S^{2} x, S x, x\right\}$. We will use a basis and an orthogonal basis of the type $\left\{S^{3} x, S^{2} x, S x, x\right\}$ in $T_{p} M$. Therefore, in this section we will consider the existence of such bases.

If $x$ is a nonzero vector on $(M, g, S)$, then according to (2.1) we have $S x \neq \pm x$. Thus the angle $\varphi$ between $x$ and $S x$ belongs to the interval ( $0, \pi$ ). Evidently, the vectors $x, S x, S^{2} x$ and $S^{3} x$ determine six angles, which belong to $(0, \pi)$. For these angles we establish the next statement.

Theorem 4.1. Let $x$ be a nonzero vector on $(M, g, S)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\angle(x, S x) & =\angle\left(S x, S^{2} x\right)=\angle\left(S^{2} x, S^{3} x\right)=\varphi, \quad \angle\left(x, S^{3} x\right)=\pi-\varphi, \\
\angle\left(x, S^{2} x\right) & =\angle\left(S x, S^{3} x\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi \in(0, \pi)$.

Proof. Let $x=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$ be a nonzero vector on $(M, g, S)$. By using (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
S x & =\left(x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4},-x^{1}\right), S^{2} x=\left(x^{3}, x^{4},-x^{1},-x^{2}\right), \\
S^{3} x & =\left(x^{4},-x^{1},-x^{2},-x^{3}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Having in mind the components of $x$, also (2.4) and (4.2), we calculate

$$
\begin{align*}
g(x, x)= & A\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& +2 B\left(x^{1} x^{2}+x^{2} x^{3}+x^{3} x^{4}-x^{1} x^{4}\right) \\
g(x, S x)= & A\left(x^{1} x^{2}+x^{2} x^{3}+x^{3} x^{4}-x^{1} x^{4}\right) \\
& \left.+B\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}\right)\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, S x)=-g\left(x, S^{3} x\right), \quad g\left(x, S^{2} x\right)=0 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, due to (2.3) and (2.5), we can determine the angle $\varphi$ between $x$ and $S x$, and the angle $\phi$ between $x$ and $S^{2} x$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \varphi=\frac{g(x, S x)}{g(x, x)}, \quad \cos \phi=\frac{g\left(x, S^{2} x\right)}{g(x, x)} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply (4.3) and (4.4) in (4.5) and find $\cos \varphi=\frac{A\left(x^{1} x^{2}+x^{2} x^{3}+x^{3} x^{4}-x^{1} x^{4}\right)+B\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}\right)}{A\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}\right)+2 B\left(x^{1} x^{2}+x^{2} x^{3}+x^{3} x^{4}-x^{1} x^{4}\right)}$ $\cos \phi=0$.
Then, bearing in mind (2.3) and (4.4), we get (4.1).
Definition 4.2. A basis of type $\left\{S^{3} x, S^{2} x, S x, x\right\}$ of $T_{p} M$ is called an $S$-basis. In this case we say that the vector $x$ induces an $S$-basis of $T_{p} M$.

The following statements hold.
Theorem 4.3. Every nonzero vector $x=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
4 x^{2} x^{4}\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}\right) & +4 x^{1} x^{3}\left(\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}\right)\right)^{2} \neq 0 \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

induces an $S$-basis of $T_{p} M$.
Proof. If a nonzero vector $x \in T_{p} M$ has coordinates $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$, then using (4.2) we get the determinant formed by the coordinates of the vectors $x, S x$, $S^{2} x$ and $S^{3} x$. It is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\triangle= & 4 x^{2} x^{4}\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}\right)+4 x^{1} x^{3}\left(\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}\right)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In case that (4.6) is valid, we have $\triangle \neq 0$, which implies that $x, S x, S^{2} x$ and $S^{3} x$ form a basis.

Lemma 4.4. Let a vector $x$ induce an $S$-basis and let $\varphi$ be the angle between $x$ and $S x$. The following inequalities are valid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\pi}{4}<\varphi<\frac{3 \pi}{4} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We suppose without loss of generality that $g(x, x)=1$. Thus, because of (2.3), (4.4) and (4.5), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
g(x, S x) & =g\left(S x, S^{2} x\right)=g\left(S^{2} x, S^{3} x\right)=-g\left(x, S^{3} x\right)=\cos \varphi \\
g\left(x, S^{2} x\right) & =g\left(S x, S^{3} x\right)=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We consider a nonzero vector $y$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=-\cos \varphi x+S x-\cos \varphi S^{2} x \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g$ is a Riemannian metric we have $g(y, y)>0$. Substituting (4.9) into the latter inequality, and using (4.8), we get

$$
1-2 \cos ^{2} \varphi>0
$$

Then, taking into account $0<\varphi<\pi$, we obtain (4.7).
According to Theorem 4.3, there are many $S$-bases of $T_{p} M$. Hence, bearing in mind Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.4, we arrive at the following

Theorem 4.5. For every manifold $(M, g, S)$ there exists an orthogonal $S$-basis of $T_{p} M$.

## 5. Curvature properties of ( $M, g, S$ )

The sectional curvature of a non-degenerate 2-plane $\{x, y\}$ spanned by the vectors $x, y \in T_{p} M$ is the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x, y)=\frac{R(x, y, x, y)}{g(x, x) g(y, y)-g^{2}(x, y)} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let a vector $x$ induce an $S$-basis of $T_{p} M$ for $(M, g, S)$. There are determined six 2-planes $\{x, S x\},\left\{x, S^{2} x\right\},\left\{x, S^{3} x\right\},\left\{S x, S^{2} x\right\},\left\{S x, S^{3} x\right\}$ and $\left\{S^{2} x, S^{3} x\right\}$ in $T_{p} M$. For the angles between the pairs of vectors equalities (4.1) are valid. Moreover, the angle $\varphi=\angle(x, S x)$ satisfies (4.7). In the next theorem we establish the relations among the sectional curvatures of the 2-planes generated by an S-basis, the angle $\varphi$, the scalar curvature $\tau$ and $\tau^{*}$.

Theorem 5.1. Let $(M, g, S)$ have the property (2.15) and let a vector $x$ induce an S-basis. Then the sectional curvatures of the 2-planes, determined by the

S-basis, are

$$
\begin{align*}
k(x, S x) & =k\left(S x, S^{2} x\right)=k\left(x, S^{3} x\right)=k\left(S^{2} x, S^{3} x\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{16\left(\cos ^{2} \varphi-1\right)}\left(\tau\left(1+2 \cos ^{2} \varphi\right)+4 \tau^{*} \cos \varphi\right) \\
k\left(x, S^{2} x\right) & =k\left(S x, S^{3} x\right)=-\frac{1}{8}\left(\tau\left(1+2 \cos ^{2} \varphi\right)+4 \tau^{*} \cos \varphi\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varphi=\angle(x, S x)$.
Proof. Let a vector $x$ induce an $S$-basis. The equalities (2.3), (4.4) and (4.5) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
g(x, S x) & =g\left(S x, S^{2} x\right)=g\left(S^{2} x, S^{3} x\right)=-g\left(x, S^{3} x\right)=g(x, x) \cos \varphi \\
g\left(x, S^{2} x\right) & =g\left(S x, S^{3} x\right)=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, from (2.2), (2.3), (2.6) and (5.3), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{g}(x, x) & =2 g(x, x) \cos \varphi, \quad \tilde{g}\left(x, S^{2} x\right)=0, \\
\tilde{g}(x, S x) & =-\tilde{g}\left(x, S^{3} x\right)=g(x, x) \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (3.12), (3.13), (5.3) and (5.4) in (5.1), we obtain (5.2).
Corollary 5.2. Let a vector $x$ induce an orthonormal S-basis. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
k(x, S x) & =k\left(S x, S^{2} x\right)=k\left(x, S^{3} x\right)=k\left(S^{2} x, S^{3} x\right)=-\frac{\tau}{16}, \\
k\left(x, S^{2} x\right) & =k\left(S x, S^{3} x\right)=-\frac{\tau}{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof follows directly from (5.2), when $\varphi=\frac{\pi}{2}$.
Due to Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 3.5 we establish the following
Proposition 5.3. If $(M, g, S)$ with (2.15) is an Einstein manifold, then the sectional curvatures of the 2-planes, determined by an $S$-basis, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
k(x, S x) & =k\left(S x, S^{2} x\right)=k\left(x, S^{3} x\right)=k\left(S^{2} x, S^{3} x\right)=\frac{\tau\left(1+2 \cos ^{2} \varphi\right)}{16\left(\cos ^{2} \varphi-1\right)} \\
k\left(x, S^{2} x\right) & =k\left(S x, S^{3} x\right)=-\frac{\tau}{8}\left(1+2 \cos ^{2} \varphi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we recall that the Ricci curvature in the direction of a nonzero vector $x$ is the value

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x)=\frac{\rho(x, x)}{g(x, x)} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.4. Let $(M, g, S)$ have the property (2.15). If a vector $x$ induces an $S$-basis, then the Ricci curvatures in the direction of the basis vectors are

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(x)=r(S x)=r\left(S^{2} x\right)=r\left(S^{3} x\right)=\frac{\tau}{4}+\frac{\tau^{*}}{2} \cos \varphi \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi=\angle(x, S x)$.

Proof. In the course of the proof of Theorem 3.4, we find that $\rho$ is given by (3.10). Then, using (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho(x, x) & =\rho(S x, S x)=\rho\left(S^{2} x, S^{2} x\right)=\rho\left(S^{3} x, S^{3} x\right) \\
& =\frac{\tau}{4} g(x, x)+\frac{\tau^{*}}{4} \tilde{g}(x, x) . \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Let a vector $x$ induce an $S$-basis. From (2.3), (5.4), (5.5) and (5.7) it follows (5.6).

Proposition 5.5. Let $(M, g, S)$ with (2.15) be an Einstein manifold. If a vector $x$ induces an $S$-basis, then the Ricci curvatures in the direction of the basis vectors are

$$
r(x)=r(S x)=r\left(S^{2} x\right)=r\left(S^{3} x\right)=\frac{\tau}{4} .
$$

Proof. The above equalities follow directly by substituting $\tau^{*}=0$ into (5.6).

## 6. Manifolds with parallel structures

In this section we study a manifold ( $M, g, S$ ), whose structure $S$ satisfies (2.14). Also, we consider an associated manifold $(M, g, J)$ with a structure $J=S^{2}$. Bearing in mind (2.1) and (2.3), we get that the manifold ( $M, g, J$ ) is Hermitian and the structure $J$ is complex. In case that $J$ is parallel $(M, g, J)$ is a Kähler manifold. The characteristic condition of a Kähler manifold is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla J=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently, for the structure $J=S^{2}$, the equality (2.14) implies (6.1).
Theorem 6.1. Let $(M, g, S)$ have the property (2.14). Then the scalar curvature $\tau$ and $\tau^{*}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \tau_{1}=\tau_{2}^{*}-\tau_{4}^{*}, \quad 3 \tau_{2}=\tau_{1}^{*}+\tau_{3}^{*}, \quad 3 \tau_{3}=\tau_{2}^{*}+\tau_{4}^{*}, \quad 3 \tau_{4}=-\tau_{1}^{*}+\tau_{3}^{*}, \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{i}=\frac{\partial \tau}{\partial X^{i}}, \tau_{i}^{*}=\frac{\partial \tau^{*}}{\partial X^{i}}$.
Proof. It is known that in a Riemannian manifold for the scalar curvature $\tau$ and the Ricci tensor $\rho$ it is valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} \rho_{k}^{i}=\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{k} \tau, \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho_{k}^{i}=\rho_{a k} g^{a i}$.
On the other hand, if $(M, g, S)$ satisfies (2.14), then it satisfies (2.15). Therefore, the Ricci tensor has the expression (3.9). Hence, from (2.1), (2.4), (2.7), (2.8) and (3.9), we get

$$
\rho_{k}^{i}=\frac{\tau}{4} \delta_{k}^{i}+\frac{\tau^{*}}{4}\left(S_{k}^{i}-\left(S_{k}^{i}\right)^{3}\right),
$$

where $\delta_{k}^{i}$ are the Kronecker symbols. Using the above equalities, (2.14) and (6.3) we obtain

$$
\tau_{k}=\frac{\tau_{i}}{4} \delta_{k}^{i}+\frac{\tau_{i}^{*}}{4}\left(S_{k}^{i}-\left(S_{k}^{i}\right)^{3}\right)
$$

where because of (2.1) it follows (6.2).

### 6.1. Conditions for parallel structures

Theorem 6.2. The manifold $(M, g, S)$ satisfies (2.14) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=B_{2}-B_{4}, \quad A_{2}=B_{1}+B_{3}, \quad A_{3}=B_{2}+B_{4}, \quad A_{4}=B_{3}-B_{1} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{i}=\frac{\partial A}{\partial X^{i}}, B_{i}=\frac{\partial B}{\partial X^{i}}$.
Proof. If $\Gamma_{i j}^{s}$ are the Christoffel symbols of $\nabla$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} S_{j}^{t}=\partial_{i} S_{j}^{t}+\Gamma_{i k}^{t} S_{j}^{k}-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} S_{k}^{t} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (2.14), (6.5) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i k}^{t} S_{j}^{k}=\Gamma_{i j}^{k} S_{k}^{t} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) and (6.6) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{11}^{1}=\Gamma_{12}^{2}=\Gamma_{13}^{3}=\Gamma_{14}^{4}=\Gamma_{22}^{3}=\Gamma_{23}^{4}=-\Gamma_{24}^{1}=-\Gamma_{33}^{1}=-\Gamma_{34}^{2}=-\Gamma_{44}^{3} \\
& \Gamma_{11}^{2}=\Gamma_{12}^{3}=\Gamma_{13}^{4}=-\Gamma_{14}^{1}=\Gamma_{22}^{4}=-\Gamma_{23}^{1}=-\Gamma_{24}^{2}=-\Gamma_{33}^{2}=-\Gamma_{34}^{3}=-\Gamma_{44}^{4} \\
& \Gamma_{11}^{3}=\Gamma_{12}^{4}=-\Gamma_{13}^{1}=-\Gamma_{14}^{2}=-\Gamma_{22}^{1}=-\Gamma_{23}^{2}=-\Gamma_{24}^{3}=-\Gamma_{33}^{3}=-\Gamma_{34}^{4}=\Gamma_{44}^{1} \\
& \Gamma_{11}^{4}=-\Gamma_{12}^{1}=-\Gamma_{13}^{2}=-\Gamma_{14}^{3}=-\Gamma_{22}^{2}=-\Gamma_{23}^{3}=-\Gamma_{24}^{4}=-\Gamma_{33}^{4}=\Gamma_{34}^{1}=\Gamma_{44}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, applying (2.4) and (2.8) in the well-known identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \Gamma_{i j}^{s}=g^{a s}\left(\partial_{i} g_{a j}+\partial_{j} g_{a i}-\partial_{a} g_{i j}\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain conditions (6.4).
Vice versa. From (2.1), (2.4), (2.8), (6.4) and (6.7) it follows (6.6). Consequently, by (2.1), (6.5) and (6.6) we get (2.14).

Theorem 6.3. The manifold $(M, g, J)$ is Kähler if and only if the equalities (6.4) are valid.

Proof. Having in mind (2.1), we get that the components of the structure $J=S^{2}$ on $(M, g, J)$ are given by the skew-circulant matrix

$$
\left(J_{j}^{k}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0  \tag{6.8}\\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $(M, g, J)$ be a Kähler manifold. Therefore, from (6.1), (6.8) and

$$
\nabla_{i} J_{j}^{t}=\partial_{i} J_{j}^{t}+\Gamma_{i k}^{t} J_{j}^{k}-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} J_{k}^{t}
$$

it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{i k}^{t} J_{j}^{k}=\Gamma_{i j}^{k} J_{k}^{t} . \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with (6.8), (6.9) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{11}^{1}=\Gamma_{13}^{3}=-\Gamma_{33}^{1}, \Gamma_{14}^{4}=\Gamma_{23}^{4}=\Gamma_{12}^{2}=-\Gamma_{34}^{2}, \Gamma_{22}^{3}=-\Gamma_{24}^{1}=-\Gamma_{44}^{3}, \\
& \Gamma_{11}^{2}=\Gamma_{13}^{4}=-\Gamma_{33}^{2}, \Gamma_{14}^{1}=\Gamma_{23}^{1}=-\Gamma_{12}^{3}=\Gamma_{34}^{3}, \Gamma_{22}^{4}=-\Gamma_{24}^{2}=-\Gamma_{44}^{4}, \\
& \Gamma_{11}^{3}=-\Gamma_{13}^{1}=-\Gamma_{33}^{3}, \Gamma_{14}^{2}=\Gamma_{23}^{2}=-\Gamma_{12}^{4}=\Gamma_{34}^{4}, \Gamma_{22}^{1}=\Gamma_{24}^{3}=-\Gamma_{44}^{1}, \\
& \Gamma_{11}^{4}=-\Gamma_{13}^{2}=-\Gamma_{33}^{4}, \Gamma_{14}^{3}=\Gamma_{23}^{3}=\Gamma_{12}^{1}=-\Gamma_{34}^{1}, \Gamma_{22}^{2}=\Gamma_{24}^{4}=-\Gamma_{44}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above equalities, using (2.4), (2.8) and (6.7), we get conditions (6.4). Vice versa. From (6.4) it follows (2.14) and hence (6.1). So $J$ is a parallel structure.

Bearing in mind Theorems 6.2 and 6.3 we state the following
Corollary 6.4. The structure $S$ of $(M, g, S)$ is parallel with respect to $\nabla$ if and only if the structure $J$ of $(M, g, J)$ is parallel with respect to $\nabla$.

## 7. Lie groups as 4-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with skew-circulant structures

Let $G$ be a 4-dimensional real connected Lie group and $\mathfrak{g}$ be its Lie algebra with a basis $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right\}$. We introduce a tensor structure $S$ and a left invariant metric $g$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
S x_{1} & =-x_{4}, S x_{2}=x_{1}, S x_{3}=x_{2}, S x_{4}=x_{3},  \tag{7.1}\\
g\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, i \neq j \\
1, i=j
\end{array}\right. \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Obviously (2.2) and (2.3) are valid. Therefore $(G, g, S)$ is a Riemannian manifold of the considered type.

If we suppose that $S$ is an Abelian structure on a Lie group $G$, then the commutators $\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]=\left[S x_{i}, S x_{j}\right] . \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditions (7.1), (7.3) and the Jacobi identity for $\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right]$ imply

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=\left[x_{1}, x_{4}\right]=} & {\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right]=\lambda_{1} x_{1}+\lambda_{2} x_{2}+\lambda_{3} x_{3}+\lambda_{4} x_{4}, } \\
{\left[x_{1}, x_{3}\right]=\left[x_{2}, x_{4}\right]=} & \left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right) x_{1}+\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}\right) x_{2}+\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right) x_{3} \\
& +\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right) x_{4}, \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$.
In this section we investigate a manifold $(G, g, S)$ with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ determined by (7.4), i.e., a manifold $(G, g, S)$ with an Abelian structure $S$.

Theorem 7.1. Let $(G, g, S)$ be a manifold with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ determined by (7.4). Then $(G, g, S)$ has the property (2.14).

Proof. The well-known Koszul formula implies

$$
2 g\left(\nabla_{x_{i}} x_{j}, x_{k}\right)=g\left(\left[x_{i}, x_{j}\right], x_{k}\right)+g\left(\left[x_{k}, x_{i}\right], x_{j}\right)+g\left(\left[x_{k}, x_{j}\right], x_{i}\right),
$$

and having in mind (7.2) and (7.4), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{x_{1}} x_{1}=-\lambda_{1}\left(x_{2}+x_{4}\right)+\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right) x_{3}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{1}} x_{2}=\lambda_{1}\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)+\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right) x_{4}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{1}} x_{3}=\lambda_{1}\left(x_{2}-x_{4}\right)+\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right) x_{1}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{1}} x_{4}=\lambda_{1}\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)+\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right) x_{2}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{2}} x_{1}=-\lambda_{2}\left(x_{2}+x_{4}\right)-\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}\right) x_{3}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{2}} x_{2}=\lambda_{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)-\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}\right) x_{4}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{2}} x_{3}=\lambda_{2}\left(x_{2}-x_{4}\right)+\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}\right) x_{1}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{2}} x_{4}=\lambda_{2}\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)+\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}\right) x_{2}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{3}} x_{1}=-\lambda_{3}\left(x_{2}+x_{4}\right)-\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right) x_{3}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{3}} x_{2}=\lambda_{3}\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)-\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right) x_{4}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{3}} x_{3}=\lambda_{3}\left(x_{2}-x_{4}\right)+\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right) x_{1}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{3}} x_{4}=\lambda_{3}\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)+\left(\lambda_{2}\right) x_{2}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{4}} x_{1}=-\lambda_{4}\left(x_{2}+x_{4}\right)+\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right) x_{3}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{4}} x_{2}=\lambda_{4}\left(x_{1}-x_{3}\right)+\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right) x_{4}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{4}} x_{3}=\lambda_{4}\left(x_{2}-x_{4}\right)+\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right) x_{1}, \\
& \nabla_{x_{4}} x_{4}=\lambda_{4}\left(x_{1}+x_{3}\right)+\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right) x_{2} . \tag{7.5}
\end{align*}
$$

From (7.1), (7.5) and the formula $\left(\nabla_{x_{i}} S\right) x_{j}=\nabla_{x_{i}} S x_{j}-S \nabla_{x_{i}} x_{j}$ we get $\left(\nabla_{x_{i}} S\right) x_{j}=0$, i.e. (2.14) is valid.

Further, using (2.10), (2.11), (7.2), (7.4) and (7.5) we calculate the following components of the curvature tensor $R$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{1313} & =R_{2424}=R_{1324}=2 R_{1212}=2 R_{1414}=2 R_{2323}=2 R_{3434} \\
& =2 R_{1223}=2 R_{1214}=2 R_{1434}=2 R_{1234}=2 R_{2334}=2 R_{2314} \\
& =2\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}\right) \\
R_{1213} & =R_{1224}=R_{1413}=R_{2414}=R_{2423}=R_{2313}=R_{1334}=R_{2434} \\
& =2\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{4}\right) \tag{7.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The rest of the nonzero components are obtained from the properties

$$
R_{i j k s}=R_{k s i j}, R_{i j k s}=-R_{j i k s}=-R_{i j s k} .
$$

From (7.2), (7.6) and the formula (2.12) we get the components of the Ricci tensor $\rho$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{11}=\rho_{22}=\rho_{33}=\rho_{44}=-4\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}\right), \\
& \rho_{12}=\rho_{23}=\rho_{34}=-4\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{4}\right), \\
& \rho_{13}=\rho_{24}=0, \quad \rho_{14}=-\rho_{12} . \tag{7.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, using (7.1) and (7.2) we find the components of $\tilde{g}$ determined by (2.6), and the components of its inverse. They are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{g}_{11}=\tilde{g}_{22}=\tilde{g}_{33}=\tilde{g}_{44}=0, \tilde{g}_{12}=\tilde{g}_{23}=\tilde{g}_{34}=-\tilde{g}_{14}=1, \tilde{g}_{13}=\tilde{g}_{24}=0, \\
& \tilde{g}^{11}=\tilde{g}^{22}=\tilde{g}^{33}=\tilde{g}^{44}=0, \tilde{g}^{12}=\tilde{g}^{23}=\tilde{g}^{34}=-\tilde{g}^{14}=\frac{1}{2}, \tilde{g}^{13}=\tilde{g}^{24}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, from (2.13), (7.2) and (7.7), we get the values of the scalar curvature $\tau$ and $\tau^{*}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=-16\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}\right), \tau^{*}=-16\left(\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{4}\right) \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, the components of $g$ and $\rho$, the values of $\tau$ and $\tau^{*}$, given by (7.2), (7.7) and (7.8) respectively, satisfy (3.9), i.e., $(G, g, S)$ is an almost Einstein manifold.

Further, from (5.1), (7.2) and (7.6), for the sectional curvatures of the basic 2-planes we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& k\left(x_{2}, x_{4}\right)=k\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)=2\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}\right), \\
& k\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=k\left(x_{1}, x_{4}\right)=k\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=k\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2} . \tag{7.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, we arrive at the following
Theorem 7.2. Let $(G, g, S)$ be a manifold with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ determined by (7.4). Then
(i) the nonzero components of the curvature tensor $R$ are (7.6);
(ii) the components of the Ricci tensor $\rho$ are (7.7);
(iii) the scalar curvature $\tau$ and $\tau^{*}$ are (7.8). The manifold is almost Einstein;
(iv) the sectional curvatures of the basic 2-planes are (7.9).

### 7.1. Einstein manifolds

Let $G^{\prime}$ be a subgroup of $G$, where $(G, g, S)$ is a manifold with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ determined by (7.4). Let $\left(G^{\prime}, g, S\right)$ be an Einstein manifold. Bearing in mind Corollary 3.5 and the second equality of (7.8) we construct two examples of such a manifold.

Case (A) $\quad \lambda_{3}=\lambda_{1}, \quad \lambda_{2}=0$,
Case (B) $\quad \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}, \quad \lambda_{3}=\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}$.
We note that these cases exhaust the set of Einstein manifolds $\left(G^{\prime}, g, S\right)$ with an Abelian structure $S$.

Let us consider the case (A). With the help of (7.4), (7.7), (7.8) and (7.9), we prove the following

Proposition 7.3. Let $\left(G^{\prime}, g, S\right)$ be a manifold with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=\left[x_{1}, x_{4}\right]=\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right]=\lambda_{1} x_{1}+\lambda_{1} x_{3}+\lambda_{4} x_{4}} \\
& {\left[x_{1}, x_{3}\right]=\left[x_{2}, x_{4}\right]=-\lambda_{4} x_{1}+2 \lambda_{1} x_{2}+\lambda_{4} x_{3} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then
(i) the nonzero components of $\rho$ are $\rho_{11}=\rho_{22}=\rho_{33}=\rho_{44}=-4\left(2 \lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}\right)$;
(ii) the scalar curvature is $\tau=-16\left(2 \lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}\right)$;
(iii) the sectional curvatures of the basic 2-planes are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k\left(x_{2}, x_{4}\right)=k\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)=2\left(2 \lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}\right) \\
& k\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=k\left(x_{1}, x_{4}\right)=k\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=k\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=2 \lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the case (B), with similar calculations, we establish the following
Proposition 7.4. Let $\left(G^{\prime}, g, S\right)$ be a manifold with a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ determined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]=} & {\left[x_{1}, x_{4}\right]=\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right]=\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right) x_{1}+\lambda_{2} x_{2} } \\
& +\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right) x_{3}+\lambda_{4} x_{4} \\
{\left[x_{1}, x_{3}\right]=} & {\left[x_{2}, x_{4}\right]=\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{4}\right) x_{1}+2 \lambda_{4} x_{2}+\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{4}\right) x_{3}-2 \lambda_{2} x_{4} . }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then
(i) the nonzero components of $\rho$ are $\rho_{11}=\rho_{22}=\rho_{33}=\rho_{44}=-12\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}\right)$;
(ii) the scalar curvature is $\tau=-48\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}\right)$;
(iii) the sectional curvatures of the basic 2-planes are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k\left(x_{2}, x_{4}\right)=k\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)=6\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}\right) \\
& k\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=k\left(x_{1}, x_{4}\right)=k\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=k\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=3\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conclusion

In fact, we investigate two classes of manifolds $(M, g, S)$. The wider class consists manifolds with the property (2.15). The manifolds with a parallel structure $S$ belong to the narrower class. In both classes Einstein and almost Einstein manifolds are determined. In both classes curvature properties of $(M, g, S)$ are obtained. Examples of manifolds with a parallel structure $S$ are constructed on Lie groups. Our future problem is to construct an example of a manifold $(M, g, S)$ which satisfies (2.15), but does not satisfy (2.14).
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